## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No	5:25-cv-00343-SHK		Date:	June 26, 2025	
Title:	Nelson Chilin v. Joseph H. Raygoza, et al.				
Present: The Honorable Shashi H. Kewalramani, United States Magistrate Judge					
D. Castellanos			Not Reported		
Deputy Clerk		Court Reporter			
Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):		Attorney(s)	Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):		
None Present			None Present		

## **Proceedings (IN CHAMBERS): ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION**

Generally, each Defendant must answer or otherwise respond to the complaint within 21 days after service (60 days if the Defendant is the United States). Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 12(a)(1). In the present case, it appears that Plaintiff served the summons and complaint on Defendants Joseph Raygoza ("Raygoza") and Sumiye Umemoto ("Umemoto") (collectively, "Defendants") but such Defendants did not file an answer or otherwise respond to the complaint. Consequently, on March 28, 2025, Plaintiff requested the entry of default with respect to Raygoza. Electronic Case Filing Number ("ECF No.") 8. The Clerk entered the default on March 31, 2025. ECF No. 9. On May 12, 2025 Plaintiff requested the entry of default with respect to Umemoto. ECF No. 12. The Clerk entered the default on May 20, 2025. ECF No. 13.

Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 55(a). The defaulted Defendant(s) have not moved to set aside the default nor otherwise responded in this action. However, Plaintiff has not moved for the entry of default judgment. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, hereby orders Plaintiff to show cause in writing on or before July 3, 2025, why this action should not be dismissed, with respect to each defaulted Defendant. Pursuant to Rule 78 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. This Order to Show Cause will be discharged if Plaintiff files, before the deadline set forth above, a motion for the entry of default judgment with respect to the applicable Defendant(s). Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 55(b).

## IT IS SO ORDERED.